G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

File No. 419 - 19

1910.

Serial Nos. 164

(Miscl)

Later references.

Misc 420 - 10

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 164

Part B for October 1911

SUBJECT.

Taking over under Govt. management the temples of Mahalinga Devaru and Chandramouleswara Devaru at Kurnvalli, Tirthahalli Tale

---

Dl From the Dt Mamlatdar Shimoga Dated No 225/48 of 17 1 1911

Recommends that the temples may be taken under Govt management as they are being mismanaged by the Vaishnavadaru &c

Dl 2 To the Deputy Superintendent No 2535 of 3 4 1911 called for extracts of the Income Register

G.P.B.—6,000—22-10-10

G.R.S. 11] 1910.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(. Inwards)

NOTES.

File No. 419/10

FILE HEADING.

Re The taking over under Govt management the Temples of Sri Mahaganesha Devaru and Chandramouleswara Devaru at Kuruvalli, Tirthahalli Taluk

From

The Dy. Commr, Shimoga Dt

No. 225/48 Dated 07.1.1911 Received

Diary No. 1296 | Enclos. 2 Pet. papers | Spare Copies | Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends that the Temples may be taken under Govt management as they are being mis-managed by the Vaidikas & as the Inam and Govt lands endowed thereto, have been alienated

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Submitted with a Dft to the Inam Supdt
AM 1325 BL
27/3/1911

SDurai
28/3/1911

2. Sms
1-4-11

To, the Supdt of Inam Settlements,
Letter no 2535 dt 3-4-1911

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—15,000—24-4-09

eral and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 117 of 1910

Serial No.	
	calls for extracts of the Inam registers
3	from, the Inam Supdt.
	Letter no 83/2 Dy 14-4-1911
	furnishes Inam extracts
	The Dy Commrs. report clearly explains the facts of the case.
	The temples of Sri Mahaganesa Devaru and Chandramouleswara Devaru at Kurunalli in the Tirthahalli Dy. are muzrai institns endowed with both Sirkar and Inam lands as particularized in the Dy. Commrs. letter
	The temple of Chandramouleswara Devaru is in receipt of a cash grant of Rs 15-12-0.
Hag S.	In the Inam extracts, the name of Manjappa is mentioned as the manager of these temples at the time of the Inam Settlement
R	The temple of Chandramouleswara Devaru was broken into and the idol was stolen away and the cash grant is held in abeyance since 1905
	Out of 8 S nos in the khata of the temples, 6 are reported to have been alienated through sale

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

Serial No.

or mortgage, and no accounts are maintained In Octr 1908, Valiumal dar Razappa having died, his brothers began to mis-manage the landed properties.

Hag X

Vide amildar's report No. 585 dy 1.1.09

During the Amildar's inspection, it was found that the worship had been neglected, that the Temples had been allowed to fall into disrepair, that Devarajiya brothers of the deceased Vakilsardar had unauthorizedly leased out the Temple lands and had commuted a portion of the produce being mis-appropriated by the mortgagee. He having recommended that the Temples may be taken under Govt management, the Dy Commr Mr. Narain Rao sanctioned his recommendation in his order No. 1070 dy 11-2-10

The Dy Commr solicits Govt orders to take over the Temples under Govt management (together with its properties) A scale of expenditure amounting to Rs 345/ against an annual income of Rs 495/

GPB—50 000— 12 8 10

12]
and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 469 of 19 10

Serial No.

is submitted for sanction together with an estimate for Rs 554/ for repairing the Mahaganeswara Devam

A printed copy of Govt order no G 1600-39 Dy 7th Septr 1908 is herewith submitted. It seems that the disposal of the case is within the Dy Comrs' competency (Vide Part I of Appendix to Govt Orders) and solicited as to whether the Dy Comr may be informed accordingly Submitted for orders

G.M. 1-08

AV (132) 22/5/1911

The view that the disposal of such cases is within the competency of Dy Comrs, does not appear to be quite correct. Items 2 & 3 of Part I in the Appendix to the Order of 1908 empower Dy Comrs to inquire into mismanagement of institutions, alienations of endowments &, and the resumption of Devadaya & Brahmadaya Inam lands. Item 20 of Pt II empowers the Murpai Supdt to deal with questions connected with the assumption by Govt of direct management of Murpai Institutions &. Finally item 17, of Pt III reserves to Govt the power of revising the scale of expenditure in Murpai Institutions

As all these matters are involved

col

NOTE — Contn sheet

GPB—50 000—12 8 10

and Revenue
ecretariat.

File No. 419 of 19 10 12716

Serial No.

in the present case, it is best that the sanction of govt is accorded to the arrangements proposed by the Dy Comr.

Put up draft orders accordingly. Add that the Dy Comr may give due notice to the mortgagees of the lands that the alienations by the Vahivatdars being invalid are cancelled & the lands taken under govt management.

25/5/11

Submitted with a dft order.

31/5/11

Please put up fair draft

Subd with a fair draft for approval. 31/5/11

31-5-11 2nd Comn allu).

1/6/11

Have the Vahivatdar & Mortgagees Concerned been examined Please note what they state & mark the papers.

23/6/11

Vide last Rep. Contains note 27/6/194

The Vahivatdar and the mortgagees concerned have been examined.

NOTE.— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

Serial No.

Flag W

After the Dy. Commr passed his order of resumption, Devaraiah brother of the deceased Vahivatdar, when examined by the Amildar on 5th may 1910, deposed that he had no objection to the assumption by Govt of the managt of the Temples, but prayed that as the temple Vahivas had been in the possession of his family from a very long time, as large amounts had been spent for the better cultivation of the lands and as they have nothing else to live upon, the worship and the supervision of the Temples might be entrusted to them subject to the orders of the nuzrai Deptt in this behalf.

Rama Rau son of Sahukar Agumbe Krishniah (mortgagee of S nos 151, 152, 153 of Mahaganeswara Devaru) has deposed on 16th Septr 1910 that in 1902, the lands were mortgaged to them for large amounts (Rs 1570-13-10), that he sustains heavy losses if the lands are taken away from him, that he had leased out the lands to Devaraiah who failed to pay the migathe amounts and

Flag 6

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,00 ›—12 8 10

Serial No.

that he is prepared to pay the same. He prays that the lands may be leased out to him on suitable quit for 30 years, for which he will execute the necessary mutchalika.

On 31st August 1910, Channakesava Bhatta to whom the Sagavali hak of S. nos 50 and 79 in the khata of Mahagaum Devaru and S. no. 75 in the khata of Chandramouleswara Devaru had been sold, has deposed before the Amildar to the effect that for the temple lands in his possession, he is paying as stipulated in regd Geni Patra, Rs 112-4-0, to Valivatdar Kalagar Rayappa (who was then alive), that in view to enhance the rent, Rayappa resorted to civil courts which fixed it at Rs 112-4-0, that he is unwilling to pay any enhanced rent demanded by the Valivatdar and adds that he has spent large amounts on the lands.

7 to N

[Kalagar Devaraiah and Seshappa brothers of Rayappa, and Appanna minor son of Rayappa have appealed to Govt praying for the confirmation of their right to the management of the temples

Flag 3, (Linked file) file no 470-10

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

Serial No.

and for the restoration of the Inam lands the petition having been rejd to the Dy. Commr, that officer invites reference to his communication under disposal

It is gathered from the records that the 2d applt Seshappa is a bachelor of unsound mind]

Submitted for orders Part this Dist can the [illegible] (No 420-10)

AS (132) RL 4/4/1911 16th inst: for hearing of Complt & pls a week or ten days before date of hearing.

AS 4/2/1911

Submd with dft notice

AS 4/4 RL

Issued 4/4/1911

Submitted.

AS 10/8/11

Muzrai Under-Secretary's note.

The records relate to two temples, Chandramouleswara Temple at Kuruvalli and Mahaganesvara Temple at Bommarasayyana Agrahar, a hamlet of Buklapura in the Thirthahalli Taluk.

2) Both are Muzrai Institutions. One Rayappa was the Vahivatdar.

3) The former Temple has no Inam land ; but has a Halnad Cash Grant of Rs15-14-0 a year. It seems to have had two Sarkari lands as specified below :-

Village.	S. No.	Description.	Area.	Assessment
1) Yedagudde.	46	Garden	0-31	16-0-0.

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

ii) Yedahalli.	75.	Garden	2-17	38-12-0
		Wet	2-3	3-4-0.

Of these the former is stated to have been originally Temple Inam land and to have been assessed at the Inam Settlement when a cash grant was confirmed. The patta was made over in the name of one Manjappa and after his death his heir Savitramma sold it to Kittandur Thimmanna Bhatta. It appears that till about the year 1900, 6 maunds of areca-nut was being given to the Temple as the sale of this land and that subsequently the payment was stopped on the ground that the land did not belong to the Temple, but was the private property of the alienees. (Vide Rayappa's statement before Shekdar on 8-1-1904) I do not think this alienation can be questioned.

A

4. The other land with two other lands belonging to the Mahaganeswara Temple, was permanently leased in 1874 to one Venkataramana Bhatta by Manjappa the Vahivatdar referred to in the Inam records and a brother of Rayappa for Rs.112-4-0 a year. This was transferred by Venkataramana Bhatta to Channakesava Bhatta in 1897.

Z

Rayappa after becoming Vahivatdar attempted to get a higher rent for these lands. He failed in a Civil Court. In the Revenue Department the Amildar seems to have attached the produce on the ground that the Temple was in disrepair. The Assistant Commissioner (Mr. Balasundaram Iyer) set aside this order on the ground that the lessee was only liable to pay the rent and was not responsible for the upkeep of the Institution.

B

C

(R. 96 of 4.8.1899)

6. The present file begins with a petition of Rayappa to the Deputy Commissioner in 1900 complaining of

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 419 of 19 10

Serial No.

of the lease and sale referred to above. The enquiry then commenced has culminated in an order unfavourable to the Vahivatdar's family.

7. On the recommendation of the Amildar, the Deputy Commissioner has directed that the management of the Temples and their endowments should be assumed by Government. The reasons are (i) that the Temples require repairs (ii) that puja is not properly performed and (iii) that the Temple Inam lands have been alienated by the Vahivatdars.

8. I need not dilate on the first ground.

9. As regards the second, the Amildar in his several reports repeats that since the death of Rayappa, there have been quarrels among his heirs and that the Temples have been neglected.

10. It is notorious that in Temples under Vahi-vatdars the scale of expenditure is not proportionate to the income. It is necessary once for all to settle whether Government will be satisfied with the minimum provision for puja or whether they will require a scale of expenditure corresponding to the income. If the former view is adopted, no case will require intervention; if the latter is adopted, intervention will be necessary in all cases.

11. The question of alienation requires to be dealt with at some length. In this connection I must refer to the petition of the deceased Vahivatdar Rayappa's brother and son praying for a reversal of the Deputy Commissioner's orders. This petition is dealt with in File No. 420 Of 1910-11

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,00)—12 8 10

Serial No.

1910-11 put up herewith. The Mahaganeswara is referred to as the family deity of these.

12. The alienations in this case are of two kinds - the permanent lease referred to above and the hypothecation of some lands of the Mahaganeswara Temple by Payappa himself.

13. The former was what Rayappa also complained against, adding that the land which was leased on alvi tenure should now fetch a fair rent as it was fully developed. (Vide Rayappa's statement before Shekdar) The Deputy Commissioner in para 10 of his order is also of opinion that the rent of Rs112-4-0 now realized under the permanent lease is not adequate. The Amildar in para 6 of his report No. 475 dated 27-10-1910 says that this is adequate in the circumstances of the case. This need not be pressed further as it is not this alienation that has led to the assumption of the management by Government. It is the alienation, i.e., hypothecation by Rayapp himself, that is the cause of the unfavourable order to the Vahivatdars. The contention of the Petitioners is that the lands thus dealt with by him Viz., Survey Nos. 150, 152 and 153 of Puklapur village (S. No. 150 is admittedly private land) are not Temple Inams, but private lands of the Vahivatdars.

14. It cannot be said that the petitioners are entirely wrong in saying this. These lands were treated as Khalsat and the patta was made out in the name of Subbaraya, alias, Rayappa (Vide Amildar's report No.744 dated 13.3.1904) Subsequently

A

W

D

E

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,000—12.8.10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 449 of 1910

Serial No.

F
Collr's report dt: 229 D/21 8. 1909) y

Subsequently the khalsat on devadaya having been remitted, the Inam Settlement was revised and these lands were confirmed as Inam in about May 1902. The hypothecation was in March 1902 prior to this confirmation, and when entered into, appears to have been legal. If illegal now (See Govt. Pro. No. 964/M.F. 59 of 1901 In Manangi Matt case) it will become inoperative when declared to be null and void in accordance with the Inam rules. It is thus clear that the alienation cannot be looked upon as a strong reason for Government intervention.

Index copy sent up) 24.7.1907

15. The chief reason can only be that the expenditure is not commensurate to the income.

16. As regards the assumption of management by Government the following statements made by Devarayya before the Police Inspector and Amildar in 1909 and 1910 may also be taken into consideration :-

15 P.

ದೇವರಾಯಂದು ಸಾಲ್ಕ ದೊಡ್ಡದಾಗಿ ಅಲಂಕಾರಿಕೆ ಸಲಿಸಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗಿಲ್ಲ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಆದಾಯ ಕಡಿಮೆ ಆಗಿದೆ.

× × ×

ಅಲಂಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ದೇವರಿಗೆ ಸಾಲ್ಕ ಸಲಿಸಲು ಹಂಪೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ದೇವರಿಗೆ ಸಲಿಸಲು ಬೇಕಾದಷ್ಟು ಸಾಲ ಆಗಿದೆ

× × ×

ಗುಂಪು ಸಾಲ್ಕ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ದೇವರಿಗೆ ಅಲಂಕಾರ ಮಾಡಿಸಲು ಆಗಲಿಲ್ಲ ಅಲಂಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಆದಾಯ ಕಡಿಮೆ ಆಗಿದೆ × ×× ಅಲಂಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಸಲಿಸಲು ಸಾಲ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಸಾಲ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ದೇವರಿಗೆ ಅಲಂಕಾರ ಮಾಡಿಸಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗಲಿಲ್ಲ

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

R. S.-12]
and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 419 of 19 10

Serial No.

17. After the question whether the management is to be assumed by Government or not is settled, the proposals about the scale of expenditure may be considered. The Mahaganeswara Temple has valuable Inam lands, while the Chandramouleswara Temple has a cash grant of only Rs 15-14-0 a year. Still it is proposed to allot funds practically equally to both temples from the entire income. There can be no serious objection to this.

18. I have only to add that in place of the Linga of Chandramouleswara stolen in 1905 a new one has since been installed. The later reports of the Amildar refer to the idol of Mahaganeswara as being broken (భగ్న).

Submitted the Commissioner

Ramachandraiya

16/5/24

Mr. K. Rama says he is not ready & wants a month's adjt.

(18 Sept)

18/6/11

Messrs Sankaranarayana Rao & C. Kr. Rama for Petrs

Only Part treated as inam & others treated as Sarkar.

Our Rayappa transferred all these

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 419 of 1910

Serial No.

Lands in the Jungle of

S. Nos. 150, 5/163 are only for 2 lands not mans ~~of this 158~~ (See extracts from Khatoon of 1891 &c) but it is admitted that they are mere waste lands

The Petr (Dorasamy) who says that his brother Seshappa is dead & that his nephew Appanna (minor) is under his care, agrees to carry out the worship acc to scale fixed by Dy Cr & to keep regular accounts — ~~The Dy Cr may get proper~~ & that of he fails, Govt may take over the management of both the temples.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Revenue Secretariat.

Mar File No. 419 of 1910 1704 15

Serial No.

The Dy C= may be requested to take proper written Muchalikas & hand back the management of the temples & possession of the lands to petitioners.

18/9/11

Store this draft postp. the question of the repair of this Temple & this payment of this accumulated Savings & Devasthanam until we receive the Muchalikas and may then be submitted

Record both files 19.9.1911

19.9.1911

To The Dy Comr Shemoga Dt

No 853 Dt 19.10.1911

Requesting the Dy Comr to take proper written Muchalikas and hand back the management of the temples & possession of the lands to petitioners.

Copy forwarded to Petr. Devasthanam (through her Advocate) No 854 Dt 19/11.

X File No. 420/10 also

4

Note.—Contn sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

D 1296

MGW 11 1910. 11 419-10-1

From K. Srinivasa Rao Esqre
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga.

To C.S. Balasundram Iyer Esqre B.A.
Offg. Secretary to the
Govt of H.H. the Maharaja
of Mysore
Muzrai Branch
Bangalore

Dated 17th January 1911

Despatched

Received

General No. 225
No. 4 of

Enclosure No. 2 & V. Records.

Request that Sanction of Government may be accorded to take over the temples of Sri Maha Ganesha Devaru & Chandramouleshwara Devaru at Kuruvalli in the Thirthahulli Tk, under Government management &c

O.C. 225/48 Qu 2 & Deputy Commissioner

Dated 17th January 1911

From,

K. Srinivasa Rao Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To,

C. S. Balasundram Iyer Esqr BA
Offg: Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore, (Inam Branch)

Sir,

I have the honour to state that the temples of Sree Mahaganeswara Devaru and Chandra Mouleswara Devaru at Kuruvalli in the Thirthalli Taluk are in the enjoyment of Inam and Sirkar lands as detailed in the margin. The temple of Chandra Mouleswara Devaru is also in receipt of a cash grant of ~~Rs~~ 15-14-0.

2. One Kalagar Rayappa and

Name of village	Name of Khatadar	S. No.	Sovereignty of Inam	Kind	Extent			Assessment		
1. Yeseluelli	Mahaganapathi Devaru	50	Inam	Jarsa	2	9	31	0	0	-
		79	do	do	1	7	16	0	0	-
	Chandramoulesvara devaru	75	Sovereignty	wet	2	17	38	12	-	-
					2	3	3	4	0	
2. Bukkapura	Mahaganapathi Devaru	148	Inam	do	10	18	27	0	0	
		149	do	do	4	7	10	0	0	
					0	23				
		151	do:	dry	0	23				
				wet	2	24	18	8	0	
				dry	1	10		8	0	
				Jarsa	0	36	11	0	0	
		152	do	do	0	25	6	0	0	
		153	do	do:	2	25	52	-	-	
		Total						31	4	2/4 -

before

before him, his ancestors were the Valiwatdars of these temples and were managing the properties belonging thereto.

3. In the year 1874 Kalagar Ranjappa, the then Valiwatdar of the temples permanently leased out S Nos 50 and 79 in the Khata of Mahagaueswara Devaru and S. No 75 in the Khata of Chandra Mouleswara Devaru to one Venkataramana Bhatta and subsequently the Sagwali Hak in these lands was sold to one Shamakeswarbhatta in the year 1897. The Valiwatdar was receiving annually a rent of Rs 112-4-0 in respect of these lands. (Copy of Sale Deed) Hag 2

4. Further in the year 1902 the Valiwatdar Rayappa mortgaged along with other properties S Nos 151, 152 and 153 belonging to Sri Mahagaueswara Devaru to one Ayumbee Lakshminarmappa in satisfaction of a debt which he owed to him. The (Copy of the deed) Hag 3

would appear to have been brought under Khalsat at the time of the Inam Settlement and subsequently restored as Inam in the year 1902 as per orders of Government conveyed in their Proceedings No. 2973-83 dated 9th January 1902. The remaining two lands S. Nos. 148 and 149 were under the cultivation of the Valivatdar himself

5. No accounts were maintained by the Valivatdar to show as to how the receipts from the temple lands were disposed of.

6. The temple of Chandra Mouleswara Devaru was broken into and the idol stolen away about the year 1905. The Cash grant endowed to this temple was therefore held in abeyance from that year.

7. In October 1908 Valivatdar Royappa

Hag X
(report no. 585 of January 1909)

Royappa died and his brothers Devarayya and Seshappayya attempted to misappropriate the produce belonging to the temples. The Amildar inspected these temples in January 1909 when he found that the worship of the deities had been utterly neglected. Devarayya, brother of the deceased Vahivatdar, had unauthorizedly leased out the temple lands and had connived at a portion of the produce being misappropriated by the mortgagee referred to above. The temples had also been allowed to fall into a state of disrepair and the idol of Mahagaueswara Devaru had been damaged. In these circumstances the Amildar obtained Muchalikas from the Saguvalidars of the lands not to deliver the produce to any one without his knowledge and recommended to this Office that the temples might be taken over under Government management

Narain Rao sanctioned the assumption by Government of the management of the temples.

8. The Amildar has accordingly leased out the temple lands afresh on fair rent and has made proper arrangements for the worship of the deities.

9. The rent recovered up to the end of 1909-10 and the sale proceeds of the produce amounting to Rs 677-9-6 have been kept in deposit.

10. Statements showing the receipts from the lands of the above temples and the scale of expenditure required for the performance of the various services therein are herewith submitted. (Flags 29, 32)

I beg that sanction of Government may be kindly accorded to take over the temples under Government management and to the expenditure as per scale proposed.

11.

11. As the temple of Mahagauesuara Devaru is in a state of disrepair an estimate of the probable cost of restoring the same to proper condition amounting to Rs 554/- is hereunto submitted for favour of sanction. The cost may be met out of the savings at the credit of the temples.

(Flag IV)

12 The entire records connected with the above are herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

Statement showing the income of Kuravalli Chendramouleshwara and Bukkapurada Mahagaonapatti Devaru in the Hirtha D. Ali Taluk

Particulars	Amount			Total			Remarks
Land Inams:-							
In Yedehulli Village	112	4	a				
Bukkapur Village	365	"	"	477	4	a	
Malnad Nagad Inam Amount	15	14	a	15	14	a	
Kanike &c.	1	14	a	1	14	a	
Total	.	.	.	495	"	a	

Shimoga
20th January
1911.

For Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

9

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 129

No. 2535 - D 3/4/11.
Dmis 419-10-2

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 190

To

The Supdt of Inam Settlements in mysore,

Date of issue

Signed by

Sir,

I am directed to request to be furnished with extracts of the Detailed Inam register pertaining to the grant of the marginally noted Inam lands for the up-keep of Sri Mahaganeswara Devasthanam and ~~Sri Bhandra Nanjeswara~~ Devasthanam Temples at Kurubelle in the Nelamangala Taluk

I have &c

evat

Dy 28/3/1911
for O/C Secretary

27.3.11

over.

Name of Village	Name of Khatedar	S. no	extent	amount
1. Yedellalli	Mahagaraputi Devasthanam	50	2-9	31-0-0
		79	1-7	16-0-0
			10-18	27-0-0
2. Buchlapura	do	148	4-7½	10-0-0
		149	0-23½	
		151	2-24	18-8-0
			1-10	0-8-0
			0-36	11-0-0
		152	0-25	6-0-0
		153	2-25	52-0-0

SUBJECT.

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—23-5-68

VII
13¼ pp.

D 1704

1911 Inam 4/19-10-3.

From

The Superintendent,
Inam Settlements
in Mysore.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. & Rev. Depts.

Dated } 11th April 1911
Despatched }

No. 83
Enc. 2.

SUBJECT.

Forwards Copies of Inam Register pertaining to the Inam lands granted to Sri Mahaganesvara Deva in Tirthahalli Taluk

Enclosure note.
RL
15/4/11

15/4/1911

No. 83
Enc. 2

Office of the Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Dated Bangalore, 11th April 1911

From

D. Shama Rao, Esq.,
Superintendent of Inam Settlements
in Mysore, Bangalore.

To

C S Balasundaram Iyer Esq B.A

Offg. Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl and Rev Depts.

Sir,

As requested in your letter No: 2535
Muz. 419-10-2
dated 3rd inst., I have the honor to forward herewith copies of two Inam Registers pertaining to the lands endowed for the upkeep of Sri Mahaganeswara Devar temple in the Tirthahalli Taluk.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
D Shama Rao
Superintendent of Ina.
Settlements in Mysore

GPB—300—2 1 9 10

Copy of the
Register of Inams in the village of Indavara in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden	Extent	
			Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
2	Devadaya	In Heggadi -goppada Devara bhatt Warg No: 137 Heggadi-goppada Kovu no: 4 Bagayat No: 5 Daya 750 Nasta nil.	3-15-0	— " —

Title Deed No: 380

The manager is actually the wargda though it stands in the name of his deceased uncle. —

Sammat of Madhuvankanadu in the Taluk of Kavaledurga

13

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, etc., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc. or liable to quit-rent, *i. e.*, jodi, etc., amount of latter to be entered
6	7	8	9
Hydari Pagodas 7-5-8 or Rupees 28-8-10	— · —	For the worship of Maha ganesa Devaru at Bommanasiyana Agrahar. The Pagoda is in good order and service paid to be continued	Jodi P 4-5-5 or Rs 17-2-2

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

By whom granted; and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11

of

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND		
Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
	In jari account of 1802 Vinayaka Devar in Bommarasiya-na Agrahar Pujari Puttabhat' Trees Bagayat 750 = ₱ 7. 5- 8 of which Pagadi P 4 - 5 - 5 Jari P. 3 - 0 - 3	In 1832 jari. Same Devar Bagayat Daya 750 = P. 7 - 5 - 8 of which Pagadi P 4 - 5 - 5 Jari P. 3 - 0 - 3

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the inam has been subdivided, the name, etc, of each sharer should be entered in the columns			
Residence, name and age, etc.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Bommarasiyana Agrahar — Mahaganesa — Devar Manager Mounjuppah Pujari Sivarambhat	—	—	—

Inam Superintendent's office,
Dated Bangalore. 11th April, 1911.

Division

GPB—50—9-5-08

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20
Valid whole Grant. (Initd) S.N. 25-11-1874 To be confirmed on the existing jodi of Rs 17-2-2 subject to the conditions of the grant. (Sd) Syed Nusuro'Deen 23rd Febry, 1872. Offg Inam Asst. jodi Rs 17-2-0 (Initd) S.N.	1. Devadaya jari sulte - valid Form 2. 2. Grant confirmed as wargdar is Manager. 17-1-1873 (Sd) Robt Cole Inam Supdt.
of the manager on behalf of the Pagoda? Is this the whole warg or how many fields and dayas are there in it? In such a case it would be better to confirm the no: of dayas. (Initd) 21-10-1872	How do we know that the warg is in the name Robt Cole. Inam Supdt.
(Initd) 5-4-1875	Robt Cole Inam Supdt
	"Copy" D Rama Rao Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

Compd
S&E

Copy of the

Register of Inams in the village of Bukkalapura in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden	Extent — Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Extent — Measure in acres and then fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
		Kalagaramitta — Kódu Kóru		
6.	Devadaya Warg			
		No: 112 Wargdar Devariah wet uttar nos. from 1 to 14 Consecutively	As per Pahani Account of 1843 — 15-0-0	—
		Ayankalagarkoru		
		In wet nos: 3 to 19 Con-secutively	15-0-0	—
			30-0-0	
		Bagayat		
8		Mittakodu koru		—
		No: 1 =		
		Trees 540	540	
		Deduct Nasta Wet	25-5-0	
		Balance	7-9-0	-
		Particulars		
		Wet	K 4-15-0	-
		Bagayat Dayas ~~450~~ 540 Dm. 2m.		(Guld) g.P.

Sammat of Muttur in the Taluk of Kaulederga

DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND			
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit-rent, i.e., jodi, &c., amount of latter to be entered
6	7	8	

For the worship of Mahaganeswara Devar at Bommarasiyana Agrahara. The Pagoda is paid to be in good order and worship performed.

Jodi
H.P. 7-8-4
or
Rs 29-9-6

~~Jodi~~ now to be levied,
5-~~-~~-6 or
Rs 18-14-6
Total Rs 48-8-0.

Hydari -
Pagodas
21 - 5 - 6 or
Rs 81- 7- 3

47- 13- 10

Rs. 33- 9- 5

8 -13- 10
24- 11- 7
Rs. 33- 9- 5

Jodi
Rs 4- 13- 11 Wet
Rs 24- 11- 7 Garden

29-9-6

20

in the District

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM	
By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sanad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given
10	11
Not known	None

xxx (Col. 14 contd)

Ayankalagarakóru

Wet Nos: 3 6 19 21 Kandies } P. 11-4-9

Deduct Sarkar 6 Kandies } P 3-9-9

Remainder Uttar 15 Kandies } P. 7-5-0

Mittakodukoru

Ayan Uttar nos: Wet K.

1	=	1-5-0
2	=	1-0-0
3	=	1-0-0
4	=	1-0-0
5	=	1-0-0
6	=	1-0-0
7	=	1-10-0
8	=	1-0-0
9	=	0-10-0
10	=	1-0-0
11	=	1-5-0
12	=	1-5-0
13	=	1-15-0
14	=	0-10-0

Total 15-0-0

= P. 7-5-0.

Total K. 30-0-0 = P. 15-0-

Deduct nasta Wet } 25-5-0 = 12-6-

Remainder 4-15-0 = 2-3-

of which Sarkar } 2-12-8 = 1-2-

Jari 2-2-8 = 1-0-

Mittakodukoru

Bagayat no: 1 Trees 700

= P. 8-4-0

of which deduct Ayan Trees 160 = P. 1-8-

Remainder Uttar Trees 5

= P. 6-5-6 ⊗⊗

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND		
Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poonnah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
Not Known	In jari account of 1806 mahaganeswara Devar P. 21-5-6 Deduct Nasta 15-1-9 Remainder P. 6-3-13 of which Aravasi P. 12-9-2 Deduct from the Sarvadhari Vaja } 7-5-14 Remainder 5-3-4 Sodi 1-0-9 ⊗⊗ of which Sarkar Pagadi P 6-5-6 = 540 Trees.	In jari account of 1832 mahaganeswara Devar Worshipper — Devarabhat Wet K 30 --- = 15-0-0 P. Bagayat Trees 540 = P 6-5-6 Total P 21-5-6 Deduct Sobhakrit Nasta P. 12-6-9 Remainder 8-8-13 of which Aravasi P. 7-8-4 Sodi P. 1-0-9 In Pahani account of 1843. Teertha halli mahaganeswara Devar xxx

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the inam has been subdivided, the name, &c. of each sharer should be entered in the columns			
Residence, name and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18

Bommarasayyana
Agrahar

Mahaganesa " "
Devar

Manager

Munjuppa

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION		
Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner	
19		

Inamdar and wargdar are one person. The inam in Ayan Kalagar Kovu is not identifiable. The maximum godi may now be levied. To be confirmed on a godi of Rs 48-8-0 subject to the conditions of the grant.

(Sd) Syed Nusuro'Deen
26th Nov., 1871. Offg. Assistant

Valid Whole grant
25-9-1874 (Sd) S N.

To be confirmed on godi Rs 29-9-0
(Sd) S N.

confirmed, but according to Columns 3 and 1 the wargdar and Inamdar are not the same person. Who is Devaraya? I presume the Pujari, as one Munjuppa is the manager. If such is the case, the Assistant should have recorded it in detail, and not have made such a loose and careless entry.

(Sd) Robt Cole.
26-12-1872. Inam Superintendent

N.B. Send this with docket to the Assistant.

24

Col. 20. Contd.

This register was received for arranging the cases grantwar and order was issued to the Amil on the above subject who in his urzee No: 1761 of 1st inst states that manjappa is the cousin of late Devaraya and the warg was registered in the former's name during the last jamabundi

12-10-1874 (Sd) Syed Nusuro'Deen
Assistant

1 Devadaya jari Cultivated. Form 2.
2 Nasta deducted
3 The warg land, See ◇ is confirmed on existing jodi Rs 29-10—0.

19-1-1875. (Sd) J. Puckle
Inam Superintendent

"Copy"

Compd SBK

Inam Supdt's Office,
Dated Bangalore,
11th April, 1911.

Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

G.R.S. 28]

File No 47-10
Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to 1704

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
( )

, the 19

Date of issue

Signed by

READ— Letter No 225/48 dated 17th January 1911, from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, requesting that sanction of Govt may be accorded to take over the

~~ORDER THEREON.~~ No.

temples of Sri Mahaganeswara Devaru and Chandramauleswara Devaru at Kuruvalli in the Thirthahalli Tk., under Govt management and submitting a

P. T. over.

SUBJECT.

GPB—10,000 20 9 10

2. ~~Applicn~~ Petn. Regd. on 1432

Dated 18th Febry 1911, from Kalagara

Devarayya, Seshappa and Appanna (Vide

of Bommarakayana Agrahara

in the Thirthalli Tk., Complaining

that they have been deprived of

of the ~~Charitan~~ management of the temple

referred to above and also of possession of

temple lands they were cultivating.

order thereon. O.P. 853 - Endd dt 19-10-1911

N-27 419-11-4

Of the Petitioners, Seshappa

is reported to be dead and the

minor Appanna is under the

care of his uncle Devarayya

who appeared before Govt

and agreed to keep regular

accounts and to carry out

worship according to the

scale proposed by the Dy.

Commissioner and added

that if he failed Govt might

take over the management

of

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

2

of both the temples.

2 The dy. Commissioners is requested to take proper written mucharkas and hand back the management of the temples and possession of the lands to Petitioner.

By order
for dy. Secretary.

To.

The dy. Commr., Tumkur Dt.,
Rtg. Records

No 854 dt. 17-11
Rmk 419-10-4

Copy to Rao Devaraya ~~with reference to his application~~ for & his advocate Mr C Krishna Rao.

By order,

By
for dy Secy

GPE—1000—22-7-11